



Recovering America's Wildlife Act







Conservation Funding Background/History



- North American Model of Wildlife Management
- Hunting license sales starting in the 1800s
- Pittman-Robertson Act in 1937
- Dingell-Johnson Act in 1950
- Wallop/Breaux Amendments to D-J in 1980
- These funds are inadequate to address the 12,000 species identified nationwide as being of concern.
- AFWA initiated the Blue Ribbon Panel and Alliance for America's Fish and Wildlife to help address this deficit.





Recovering America's Wildlife Act (HR3472)

- Permanently dedicates \$1.3 billion/year for states and \$97.5 million for tribes from the general fund to the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Program.
- Ten (10) percent of funds are allocated to a competitive grant program.
- Funds apportioned annually to states based on land area and population; New Mexico would receive approximately \$24,492,986.
- States responsible for 25% nonfederal match (\$8,164,329).
- Every 5 years, states will have to report on how funds were spent.

Money SHALL be used to:

- Implement, revise, or enhance existing wildlife conservation and restoration programs;
- Develop and implement new wildlife conservation programs to recover and manage species of greatest conservation need (SGCN) and their essential key habitats and plant community types;
- Develop, revise, and implement the State Wildlife Action Plans;
- Use a minimum of 10% of the funding help in the recovery of federally or state listed threatened or endangered species;

 Conserve and manage the full array of diverse fish and wildlife species identified as state SGCN and their habitats on state and private lands.





Money MAY be used to:

- Promote wildlife conservation education and wildlife-associated recreation (up to 15%);
 - Nature centers and educational displays, programs for children and the public, community-based engagement and collaboration, etc.
 - Wildlife viewing areas, observation towers, blinds, platforms, water trails, water access, trails, trail heads and access for such projects.
- Address identified threats and risks to state SGCN, such as invasive species, nuisance species, pathogens, and diseases;
- Manage a SGCN and its habitat whose range is shared with a foreign government;
- Protect and conserve a SGCN and its habitat through directly related law enforcement activities;
- Work with private landowners to implement voluntary conservation and management actions;
- Conduct research, monitoring, restoration, and management actions needed to understand and reverse population declines.

Broad Public Support

27 State Resolutions Passed...plus MAFWA, WAFWA, NEAFWA, AFWA *Other states (light green) have written letters of support including MI, UT, VT, MN, NH, MN, CT, WI



